IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NOS. 225 TO 229 OF 2005

Noel G. Jacob ....Petitioner

Versus

The Catholic Syrian Bank Ltd. and Anr. ...Respondents

In all matters:

Mr. Niranjan Mundargi for the Petitioner.
Mrs. S.V. Gajare, A.P.P. for the State-Respondent No.2.

CORAM: **A.M. KHANWILKAR, J.**
DATE: **DECEMBER 13, 2005**.

P.C.

1. Heard. The grounds raised before this Court can be effectively answered by the Revisional Court.

2. As alternative efficacious remedy is available to the Petitioner by way of revision before the Sessions Court in view of the decision of this Court in the case of **V.K. Jain & Ors. vs. Pratap V. Padode,** reported in 2005 (3) Mh. L.J. 778, no interference is warranted.

3. These Petitions are disposed of with liberty to take recourse to remedy of revision, if so advised. All questions are left open.

4. The Petitioner to file such Revision Applications within four weeks from today. Till such time, no precipitative action be taken against the Petitioner. Revision Applications, if filed within four weeks from today, be considered on its own merits in accordance with law.

5. In case there is any delay in filing Revision Applications, Court will take into account the fact that the Petitioner had first approached this Court, which period may be excused in computing delay.

6. Petitions are disposed of on the above terms.

(A.M. KHANWILKAR, J.)